

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,405	1	10/22/2003	Daniel F. Pratt	PA0511DGA03	8499	
25691	7590	05/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEH AME	RICA, IN	C.	SONG, MATTHEW J			
M/S 58-1-92	.1				···	
4111 N.E. 1	12TH AVE	3.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
VANCOUVER, WA 98682				1722		

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	— <i>V</i> D			
	10/692,405	PRATT, DANIEL F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
	Matthew J. Song	1722	:			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ith the correspondence addre	ss			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commit  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the mo	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PIO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	•	received in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received				
Oco tilo attacitod detailed emec deticit is. d ile	of the certified copies flor	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview 5	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/22/03.</li> </ol>	8) 5) Notice of in 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/692,405

Art Unit: 1722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-21, 23-33 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takanashi et al (US 2002/0029738 A1) in view of Higo (JP 06-183874), an English abstract and computer translation (CT) have been provided.

In an apparatus for Czochralski crystal growth, note entire reference, Takanashi et al discloses a bottom chamber (Fig 1); a crucible 21 within the bottom chamber, which is rotatable and containing a molten material 23 ([0005]); a top chamber above the bottom chamber (Fig 1); a winding drum 5 mounted on the top chamber; a flexible member 24 wound around the drum 11 (Fig 1 and [0005]-[0006]); and a one dimensional CCD camera connected to a diameter measuring means ([0006]), this reads on applicant's sensor. Takanashi also discloses an inert gas is introduced into the apparatus body ([0008]).

Takanashi et al does not disclose at least one flow nozzle for directing a purge gas onto at least one of the flexible member and the crystal.

In an apparatus for Czochralski crystal growth, note entire reference, Higo teaches a circular gas blowing pipe surrounding the lower part of a silicon single crystal and gas blowing holes are disposed for blowing out an inert gas. Higo also teaches controlled blowing of gas

Art Unit: 1722

permits control of the vaporization amount of silicon dioxide from the melted liquid and makes the oxygen concentration of the single crystal uniform (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Takanashi et al by using the inert gas blowing apparatus taught by Higo to control the vaporization amount of silicon dioxide to make the oxygen concentration of silicon single crystal uniform.

Referring to claims 2-3, 21 and 33, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches a CCD camera ('738 [0006]).

Referring to claims 6-7 and 36-37, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches a wire ('738 [0005]), this reads on applicant's cable.

Referring to claims 8-10, 15, 18-20, 27 and 30-32, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches the nozzle 9 directs the inert gas at a plurality of angles. The combination of Takanashi et al and Higo does not teach the claimed intended use of claims 8-10, 15 and 18-20. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The nozzles are capable of directing gas at different angles; therefore meet the claimed limitation.

Referring to claim 11, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches a CCD camera to measure the diameter of the crystal, this reads on applicant's diameter measurement system to measure the diameter of the crystal and to detect orbit, note claim 21.

Page 4

Referring to claims 12-13 and 24-25, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches a gas supply line connected to a control unit (CT [0010]) and adjusting the flow rate of the inert gas introduced into the blow gas tubing through the supply line to change the flow rate through the holes (CT [0014]), this reads on applicant's controller for controlling the flow of the purge gas to the at least one flow nozzle.

Referring to claims 14 and 26, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches controlling the flow rate of inert gas. The combination of Takanashi et al and Higo does not teach a control valve to open and close flow the purge gas. Control valves are well known in the art to be used to control flow rate of gases. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo by using a control valve to control flow rate because it is a conventionally known means for controlling flow rate.

Referring to claims 16 and 28, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches the flow nozzles radially surround the crystal ('874 Fig 2).

Referring to claims 17 and 29, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches two or more blow gas holes which can be adjusted within a vertical plane (CT [0005]), this reads on applicant's nozzles are spaced vertically in the pulling machine.

Referring to claim 23, the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches a CCD camera, this reads on applicant's sensor to detect orbital motion, note claim 33.

3. Claims 4, 22 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takanashi et al (US 2002/0029738 A1) in view of Higo (JP 06-183874), an English abstract and

computer translation (CT) have been provided, as applied to claims 1-3, 6-21, 23-33 and 36-37 above, and further in view of IBM ("Monitoring Diameter Variation and Diameter control using laser beam and image processing in Czochralski Crystal Growth").

The combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches all of the limitations of claims 4, 22 and 34, as discussed previously, except a laser being used.

In a method for Czochralski crystal growth, note entire reference, IBM teaches a laser beam is used to achieve precise diameter control in near real-time (Disclosure). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo by using a laser beam for diameter control, as taught by IBM, to provide precise near real-time diameter control.

4. Claims 5 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takanashi et al (US 2002/0029738 A1) in view of Higo (JP 06-183874), an English abstract and computer translation (CT) have been provided, as applied to claims 1-3, 6-21, 23-33 and 36-37 above, and further in view of Korb et al (US 5,582,642).

The combination of Takanashi et al and Higo teaches all of the limitations of claims 5 and 35, as discussed previously, except a proximity sensor.

In an apparatus for Czochralski crystal growth, note entire reference, Korb et al teaches a Czochralski apparatus equipped with at least one position sensor, this reads on applicant's proximity sensor, to sense the position of a pull wire (col 2, ln 1-55 and col 5, ln 1-67). Korb et al also teaches the apparatus reduces pendular motion of a pull wire during growth and pendular motions may reduce the quality of produced crystals (col 1, ln 1-67). It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 1722

to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Takanashi et al and Higo by using the sensor taught by Korb et al to reduce pendular motion, thereby improve the quality of crystals.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fusegawa et al (US 5,725,661) discloses a bottom chamber 5 and a crucible within the bottom chamber 2; a top chamber 11 above the bottom chamber; a wire winding; a wire 12; a monitoring camera 7 of a charge-coupled device (CCD) type (Fig 1 and col 3, ln 20-35) and a port for supply of an inert gas (col 3, ln 35-50), this reads on applicant's at least one flow nozzle for directing a purge gas onto at least one of the flexible member and the crystal.

Katsuoka et al (US 5,584,930) teaches a top chamber 8, a bottom chamber 2, a wire 12, an image sensor 17, a drum 11 and an inert gas is supplied to the chamber (col 4, ln 1-67).

Boulaev (US 5,746,828) teaches a crucible, a seed cable, an optical sensor and an inert gas is circulated between an entry port and an exit port (col 4, ln 1-67).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 1722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on 571-272-1137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Art Unit 1722

MJS May 12, 2005

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER

Matthew J Song